Initial Validation of the ABC Observation Assessment for the 0-2 Age Group

Tammiee Dickenson
Yin Burgess
Noelle McInerney




Presented at the SCEPUR conference - Columbia, SC - 3/2/2018

Outline

- Overview of national QRIS
- Overview of SC ABC Quality
- Purpose of the Study
- Methods
- Results
- Summary and future work



Quality Rating & Improvement Systems (QRIS)



Alliance for Child Care Financing. (2007). Quality Rating and Improvement Systems: A Powerful Policy for Improving and Unifying Early Care and Education

tandards-Based ECE System Design

Quality Standards for Programs and Practitioners

SC child care licensing regulations, Head Start, CDEP/First Steps 4K,ABC Quality, IDEA Part B and C, SC Early Learning Standards, SC Core Comp.

Support/Infrastructure to Meet Standards

Child Care Res and Ref, PITC, SC Inclusion Coll., First Steps Cnty Partnerships, local trainings and conferences, colleges and universities

Engagement & Outreach (Selling the Vision)

for Programs, Practitioners, & Consumers Quality Early
Care & Education
System

On-going Financial Assistance (Linked to Meeting Standards)

ABCQ subsidy payments, grants/bonus, scholarships FS grants
TEACH scholarship

Monitoring & Accountability (to ensure compliance with standards)

Licensing specialists, Head Start site visits, CDEP/First Steps 4K consultants, ABCQ assessors



ABC Quality helps providers, who volunteer to participate, achieve higher standards of quality in caring for the children they serve. ABC Quality is SC's voluntary quality rating and improvement system for early care and education.

- Child Development Block Grant Funding
- Began as tiered reimbursement system in 1992
- Created the first quality standards for B-5 sector
- Child Care subsidy system (SC Voucher)



A B C Quality

- Tiered reimbursement flipped to QRIS in 2008
- 5 quality levels: A+, A, B+, B, C
- Annual assessment: Mandatory and Observed
- TA and Training supports
- Scholarships TEACH
- Grants/Bonuses



Challenges & Concerns

- Dated standards
- Defining quality
- Focus on interactions
- Maintain assessment for all classrooms
- Assessments differ for quality levels



Purpose of Study

- Desire to move to one assessment
- ABC Quality instrument takes less time to administer than ERS, thus is cost-effective
- Investigated concurrent validity evidence of the ABC Quality instrument by comparing results from an established instrument

Methods

- Concurrent observations by trained raters on two instruments:
 - ABC Quality Assessment Tool for 0-2 age group
 - State-developed observation instrument
 - Used to assess centers applying for B rating
 - Infant Toddler Environment Rating Scale (ITERS)
 - Commonly used assessment with established validity
 - Used to assess centers applying for A rating



Assessment Subscales (# of items)

ITERS Subscales

- Space and Furnishings (5)
- Personal Care Routines (6)
- Listening and Talking (3)
- Activities (10)
- Interaction (4)
- Program Structure (4)
- Parents and Staff (7)

ABC Quality Standards

- Responsive and Sensitive Care (9)
- Language and Communication (10)
- Guidance (8)
- Program Structure (12)
- Early Learning (12)
- Environment (13)


Sample Selection


- Stratified sample by center rating (A, B, C)
- 45 centers selected, 15 at each rating level
- Structure of 0-2 classrooms
 - Most infant (0-11 mo.), toddler (12-23 mo.),
 two-year-olds (24-35 mo.)
 - A few centers had on multi-age 0-2 class
 - Systematically pre-selected classes for observation

Data Analyses

- Created a crosswalk of items from both instruments measuring similar constructs
- Descriptive statistics and ANOVA
 - By rating level (A, B, C)
 - Follow-up comparisons of pairwise differences
- Correlations between observation scores
 - Overall and by subscales for each instrument







Results

- Strong positive association between overall scores on ABC Quality assessment and ITERS
- Average ABC scores > ITERS scores
- Scores tend to be higher for higher rated centers ($C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$) on both instruments
- Difference in mean scores between levels were statistically significant with large effects

Correlations between ITERS and ABC Tool for common items across subscales

ITERS Subscales	ρ
Space and Furnishings	.54*
Personal Care Routines	.33
Listening & Talking	.65*
Activities	.77*
Interactions	.60*
Program Structure	.63*
Parents & Staff	.52*

ABC Quality Standards	ρ
Responsive & Sensitive Care	.59*
Language & Communication	.65*
Guidance	.47*
Program Structure	.36
Early Learning	.82*
Environment	.81*

^{*}Indicates statically significant correlation.



Summary

- Evidence that the ABC Quality instrument for 0-2 and ITERS measure similar qualities
- Both instruments tend to differentiate scores by centers' current ABC rating level
- Given reduced administration time and cost savings, the state is moving to the ABC Quality assessment for 0-2

Future Work

- ABC Quality is operationalizing the 0-2 assessment in all child care centers
 - Factor analysis will be used to determine if quality standard factors hold
- Refinement of observation instruments for 3-5 and school age
 - Conduct similar studies for validity evidence



Questions or Comments

Tammiee Dickenson (research design & analysis)

Research, Evaluation, & Measurement Center tsdicken@mailbox.sc.edu

Noelle McInerney (ABC Quality Program)
 SCDSS – Division of Early Care and Education
 Noelle.Mcinerney@dss.sc.gov

